

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,230	03/17/2007	Jakob Busch-Petersen	PU60603	1367
20462 GlaxoSmithKl	7590 10/06/201	0	EXAMINER	
GLOBAL PATENTS -US, UW2220			MABRY, JOHN	
P. O. BOX 1539 KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2010	FLECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/581,230	BUSCH-PETERSEN ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOHN MABRY	1625			
All Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> . (3)					
(2) <u>Audrey Boyd</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 30 September 2010	Time: <u>11:20 am</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No	cant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a					
Claims discussed: n/a					
Prior art documents discussed: n/a					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
/John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/581,230

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called attorneys of record to inquire if Applicant planned to respond to Restriction Requirement dated 4/1/10. The last two corresponding attorneys of record were not available and phone numbers had been assigned to other employees. Messages were left. A response from Paralegal Audrey Boyd was received and Examiner was told that the corresponding attorneys were no longer with the company and the restriction requirement was planned to go abandoned.